



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,619	12/16/2003	Kanako Matsunami	278542003800	2632
25225	7590	08/26/2010	EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			CHIO, TAT CHI	
12531 HIGH BLUFF DRIVE				
SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2040			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,619	MATSUNAMI, KANAKO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TAT CHIO	2621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2010.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,3 and 6 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1,3 and 6 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12/16/2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, and 6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuyama et al. (5,825,408), Takei (US 2002/0057350 A1) and Yoshinobu et al. (5,761,372).

Consider claim 1, Yuyama teaches a portable telephone with functions of receiving television and recording capable of programmed recording comprising: **a receiver for receiving waves of a television broadcast** (a first operation mode (during power off) in which the receiver is used as an ordinary telephone...a third operation mode (during power on and the television mode selected by the video-mode select switch) in which the receiver is used as a television receiver in col. 16, lines 55-67 and 209 TV Tuner of Fig. 10). However, Yuyama does not explicitly teach receiving state detector for detecting receiving state of the wave of television broadcast, based on a reception level obtained from the waves of television broadcast, before recording; a memory storing programmed recording information; a detector for detecting that it is at a time point preceding a set start time of the programmed recording based on the

programmed recording information stored in the memory, and a receiving state detection activator for activating the receiving state detector a predetermined amount of time preceding the set start time of the programmed recording; a judger for judging whether the recording is permitted based on a detected result of the receiving state detector; and a notifier for notifying the user that such a situation that the recording is not permitted occurs when it is judged that the recording is not permitted, wherein the receiving state comprises the reception level of the television broadcast waves, and the notifier performs notification by showing on a display a message that the recording is not permitted.

Takei teaches **receiving state detector for detecting receiving state of the wave of television broadcast, based on a reception level obtained from the waves of television broadcast, before recording** (the detection circuit judges the proper reception status, based on the signal level of the received carrier, and the control unit controls the recording operation of the recording unit, based on the result of such judgement [0107] and S803 – S814 of Fig. 8); **a judger for judging whether the recording is permitted based on a detected result of the receiving state detector** (the control unit controls the recording operation of the recording unit, based on the result of such judgement [0107]); **the receiving state comprises the reception level of the television broadcast waves** (the detection circuit judges the proper reception status, based on the signal level of the received carrier [0107]), and **a receiving state detection activator for activating the receiving state detector a predetermined amount of time preceding the set start time of the recording** (There are further

provided operation keys for instructing the recording/reproducing operation of the recording unit in [0096]. The user instructs the recording operation by using the operation keys. When the user instructs the recording operation, he/she activates the receiving state detector. Furthermore, the user is able to choose a time to instruct the recording operation such that the time chosen is preceding the set start time of the recording), **a notifier for notifying the user that such a situation that the recording is not permitted occurs when it is judged that the recording is not permitted** (a warning message is generated by the character generator, but there may also be utilized an acoustic warning [0132] and stop recording to recording medium temporarily and display warning in S1406 of Fig. 14), **and the notifier performs notification by showing on a display a message that the recording is not permitted** (a warning message is generated by the character generator, but there may also be utilized an acoustic warning [0132] and stop recording to recording medium temporarily and display warning in S1406 of Fig. 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the known technique of detecting reception status based on the signal level to improve the device taught by Yuyama to suppress the wasted use of the recording medium and the wasted electric power consumption ([0017]).

The combination of Yuyama and Takei does not explicitly teach a memory storing programmed recording information, and a detector for detecting that it is at a time point preceding a set start time of the programmed recording based on the programmed recording information stored in the memory.

Yoshinobu teaches a memory storing programmed recording information
(the registered reservation unit information is stored in the S-RAM through the CPU, col. 5, lines 31-32), and **a detector for detecting that it is at a time point preceding a set start time of the programmed recording based on the programmed recording information stored in the memory** (the CPU registers the reservation unit so that an interrupt signal will be generated at the time, i.e., M seconds before the recording start in the timer. When the interrupt signal is generated from the timer, the CPU reads out the recording task program stored in the program ROM and drives and executes the retrieved recording task in col. 5, lines 47-50 and col. 5, lines 57-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a memory storing programmed recording information into the system taught by Yuyama and Takei because such incorporation would ensure the recording reservation information in case of electric power suspension.

Consider claim 3, Takei teaches a portable telephone, wherein **the receiving state detector repeats the detection operation after being activated by the receiving state detection activator, the judger repeats the judging operation, and the notifier repeats the notifying operation until it is judged that the recording is permitted or until the user cancels the recording** (if the recording request has not been entered into the control unit, the control unit terminates the recording operation of the recording unit [0100]. The examiner considers that “the recording request has not been entered into the control unit” reads on the limitation “the user cancels the recording”).

Consider claim 6, Takei teaches a portable television receiver wherein the notifier performs notification by the production of sound (a warning message is generated by the character generator, but there may also be utilized an acoustic warning [0132]).

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAT CHIO whose telephone number is (571)272-9563. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:00 AM-5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter-Anthony Pappas can be reached on 571-272-7646. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2621

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. C. C./
Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Peter-Anthony Pappas/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621